Title:

Access to Information on Environment: THE GREEN MAZE

Authors:

Vanja Ćalović Milena Deletić

Assistants:

Radovan Terzić Ivan Bakić

Design & layout:

Dejan Milovac

Print:

"Lider" – 069 016 054

Copies:

500

Contact:

The Network for Affirmation of NGO Sector – MANS Dalmatinska 188, Podgorica, Montenegro Tel/fax +382.20.266.326 mans@cg.yu, www.mans.cg.yu, www.pravodaznam.info

Access to Information on Environment THE GREEN MAZE

Podgorica, 2008

CONTENTS

Introduction

1. Case study: Research into Environment Pollution by the Big Pollutants	8
 1.1. Aluminum Plant Podgorica 1.2. Ironworks 1.3. Coal Mine Pljevlja 1.4.TPP Pljevlja 1.5. Port of Bar 1.6. Brown Coal Mine "Berane" 1.7. Adriatic Shipyard 	9 10 11 11 12 12 12
2. Case study: Investments into Environment Protection by the Big Pollutants	13
2.1. Aluminium Plant Podgorica2.2. Ironworks2.3. Coal Mine Pljevlja2.4.TPP Pljevlja	14 19 20 20
3. Case study: Respecting the principle "pollutant pays"	21
4. Case study: Criminal Offence - Violation of the Right to be Informed on the State of the Environment	26
5. Capacities for Inspection Control Enforcement	36
6. Statistical Data	38
7. About MANS	40
7.1. Vision and Strategic Objectives7.2. Cooperation with International Organizations7.3. Donors7.4. The most important results	41 41 41 42
Annexes	

Annex 1: Schema of access to information procedure	43
----------------------------------------------------	----

INTRODUCTION

"Public access to information on the environment is very important, especially in cases when key national companies are being privatized. In those cases imperfections of the procedure for public access to information on ecology is evident."

Second report on the state of the environment: Republic of Montenegro, Economic Commission – Committee on Environmental Policy, New York, Geneva, 2007.¹

In the period from November 01 2007 until the end of May 2008 MANS realized the project ,,Free Access to Information on the State of the Environment in Montenegro" with the aim to improve access to information on the environment through promotion of the right to access information, publishing of information held by the state institutions, as well as provision of legal assistance to citizens and non-government organizations in collection of information on the state of the environment, especially in endangered areas.

By means of paid advertisements in electronic and printed media we invited citizens to use their rights to access information.

MANS provided the requested information to every interested **citizen** by conducting all the procedures prescribed by the law. Through direct communication, the citizens could obtain **free legal assistance** for any phase of the procedure and thus ensure respect for the right to access information.



The Law on Free Access to Information is still rarely used by other civil society organizations in order for them to supervise better the work of state bodies, and to base their campaigns on as reliable information as possible.

2.2			NEDJELJNI PRE Pristup informacijama o star		sredine			
L	Za period: od 21. novembra 2007. godine do 09. maja 2008. godine.							
Free Access	Od 21. novembra 2007.godine MARS sprovodi projekať "Skladene pristane informacijeme o stanju životno snedove u Conij Goo" koji financiné Fondacije Institut za o krovenos družkov – FOSI, Kanostanju a Podgovci U protego sa dale informacije podpisihar zalivjemi i dostavljenim odporovina.							
Buza zahtijeva za isformacijema Ažurirano 02.02. 2007. : Jevna banamia			NE ORGANIZACIJE I GRADANE KOJIMA SU F Iraju i mi ćemo im pružiti besplatnu p				REDINE	
: (M ^{IC)} telefon : Živetna sredina	Rb	Naziv institucije	Tekst zahtjeva	Datum podnosenja zahtjeva	Konacan edgever	Tekst konacnog odgovera	Postupak	
i Privatizacita : Eutoblacita I Regulativa	9005	JU Cetar za ekotokolkoložika isplitvorja	Izvježitaja o starju životne sredine u oklini kontunata Akuninjuma Podgotica	08/05/0008			Zatişev	
	8856	Conovni sud Podgorice	Kompletnog predmeta K 07/453 koji je dodijeljen zudiji Zomena Sciepanoviću	24/04/2008			24697	
	8615	Ministerstvo turizma i zaštite životne sredine	Alda koji podrži podaše o resilzaciji plana sanacije i rekultivacije Jelovičia Rudnika olova i cinka dilojiovaca u Molezvo.	15/04/2008			Poriovljeni zahlj	

MANS offered That is why nonto government organizations free legal advice in the procedure of access to information. By means of electronic lists including over 100 NGOs dealing with the issues important for environment protection, MANS submitted a weekly review with data on submitted requests and scanned answers forwarded by the state institutions. Weekly reviews can be found on the web site of $MANS^2$.

MANS **tested readiness of institutions to publish information** on the state of the environment using all the legal mechanisms for providing access to information on the environment which include procedures envisaged by the Law on Free Access to Information, Law on General Administrative Procedure and the Law on Administrative Dispute, but also by the Criminal Code in the part pertaining to the right to be informed on the state of the environment.

¹ http://www.unece.org/env/epr/epr_studies/native/Montenegro%20II.pdf

² http://www.mans.cg.yu/FAI/zivotna_sredina.htm

In the period of seven months MANS submitted **130 requests** for information referring to environment protection. Out of that in **32% cases we obtained the requested information**, 14% of the requested information was already published, and for around 5% of requests institutions declared themselves not competent, while they did not possess 32% of the requested information. Access was not denied to any information based on the exceptions prescribed by the Law on Free Access to Information, and institutions did not respond to 15% of requests in the administrative procedure.

Based on the Law on Free Access to Information MANS obtained the **investigation on environment pollution by big industrial pollutants, investments into environment protection and amounts of charges they pay for pollution**.

This publication shows that competent public bodies have at disposal limited information which does not allow for good quality environment protection. It includes four case studies with data on industrial pollutants in private ownership (Aluminium Plant, Ironworks Nikšić, Brown Coal Mine "Berane"), but also of companies with the majority state ownership (Coal mine Pljevlja, Thermal Power Plant, Port of Bar and Adriatic Shipyard Bijela).

The first case study includes available information on **research** conducted into the state of the environment in the vicinity of biggest pollutants and shows that the Ministry of Tourism and Environment Protection avoided to submit information on particular companies and the Public Institution "Center for Eco-toxicological Investigations" negated having conducted research which the Ministry previously submitted to MANS.

The **second case study** indicates that institutions competent for environment protection do not have at disposal proper information necessary for **monitoring the environment protection investment program**, and the reports submitted to them by the companies which are the biggest polluters do not include sufficient data necessary for good quality monitoring, nor do they provide data on the amounts of investments they made.

Environment Law prescribes that the **polluter shall pay** for the costs of environment pollution, and it is envisaged for means collected from eco-charges to be paid into a special ecology account. The **third case study** shows how Ministry of Tourism and Environment in 2006 stated that **KAP did not pay the eco-charges for the previous ten years**, and then it stated that it possesses no information for the year 2007 and that the Ministry of Finance was the competent institution. The Ministry of Finance announced that during 2007 only 14,5 thousand euros were paid for release of polluting matters into the air, but it is not know by which companies.

The last case study shows the attitude of the state prosecutor's office towards the first procedure initiated based on the **criminal offence of violation of the right to be informed on the state of the environment**. It documents that the state prosecutor's office abandoned the charges stating that the requested documents do not exist, although the privatization strategy of the Aluminium Plant and the Council for Privatization claim the contrary.





Fondacija Institut za otvoreno društvo Predstavništvo Crna Gora

Development of this publication was supported by the Open Society Institute, Representative Office in Montenegro.

Opinions and views presented in the publication need not necessarily correspond to those of the donor.

Excerpts from the Second Report on the State of the Environment: **Republic of Montenegro, UN** Economic Commission for Europe – Committee on Environmental Policy, 2007

Montenegro has some serious hotspots from the aspect of air pollution, in particular the big aluminum plant in Podgorica, as well as the Ironworks in Nikšić. **Currently, Montenegro is privatizing its main industrial properties, in absence of a solid policy which would ensure clean environment.**

The practice of public debates and public hearings has been strengthened, but their results are rarely taken into account, and access to justice is discouragingly complicated. Montenegro has not signed the **Arhus convention** on access to information, public participation in the decision making process and access to justice.

Legislation obliges all the government bodies to make information (such as reports on the state of the environment) available to public and to provide information to all interested citizens and organizations upon their request. Information dissemination is obligatory only for Government agencies. **Private economic entities** are obliged to provide to state agencies and local administration bodies information on environment pollution caused by their activities and on their adequate implementation of environmental programs. **These data must be made available to the public** through its involvement into consolidated reports. However, the procedure is not conducted in the right manner. In particular there are no time limits for submission of reports by legal and physical persons to the competent local administration bodies.

The existing monitoring networks and the **procedures for information management alike are insufficient** for meeting the requests of the national legislation and of international obligations.

Modernization of monitoring and measurement networks and methodology, staff retraining, existing procedures and standards of information processing, their exchange and spreading do not ensure an easy and timely access of those making decisions, clients and wider public to reliable information on the environment.

Recommendation 2.1: The Ministry of Tourism and Environment Protection should in particular:

(a) Harmonize the environmental monitoring program and reporting system with Euroepan Environment Agency standards;

(b) Clarify responsibilities of the respective monitoring institutions for the implementation of the different parts of the integrated monitoring programme;

(c) Clarify the procedures and standards for providing, processing and disseminating information;

(d) Revise the current reporting policies and procedures in order to disclose to the public, on a regular basis, environmental information produced by monitoring actors and competent government organizations, including through the Internet.

Recommendation 2.2: The Government, in cooperation with NGOs, should:

(b) Complete preparatory procedures to **accede to the Convention** on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision Making, and Access to Justice in Environmental Issues (Aarhus Convention);

(c) **Further improve regulations on public access** to environmental information and participation in environmental decision-making, in particular EIA and permitting procedures and the development of environmental policies, plans and programs.