2. Case study:

INVESTMENTS INTO ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION BY BIG POLLUTANTS



This case study shows that institutions competent for environment protection do not have at disposal information necessary for monitoring implementation of the investment program for the environment, while report submitted by biggest polluters do not hold enough information needed for proper monitoring, nor provide information on amounts of invested funds.

Case study 2: INVESTMENTS

MANS asked from the Ministry of Tourism and Environment Protection reports on investments into the environment and protection at work submitted by the Aluminum Plant Podgorica, Ironworks Nikšić, Coal Mine Pljevlja and Thermal Power Plant Pljevlja, as the biggest environment pollutants.

On 17 December 2007 the Ministry submitted

- KAP: Report on realization of the first and the second phase of ecology programme (on 18 06 2007 and from 01 09 to 03 12 2007, six responses to the decisions of Ecology Inspection which include information on measures undertaken in 2006 and 6 responses referring to the year 2007
- **Ironworks**: Information on degree of realization of investments into ecology as of December 04 2007.
- **Coal mine Pljevlja:** Report on implementation of measures for environment protection as of November 30 2007.
- **Thermal power plant Pljevlja:** Report on the realization of Environment Protection Program for the year 2007.

In its decision the Ministry states that there is no legal obligation for submission of separate reports, but that the degree of investments can be seen from the Environment Protection Programs.

However, reports of these companies **do not include data on the amounts** of invested resources, and based on the submitted information the implementation of the environment protection programs defined by the contract on privatization can be followed only to a certain extent.

Aluminum Plant Podgorica

Ironworks

Coal mine Pljevlja

TPP Pljevlja

2.1. Aluminum Plant Podgorica

Based on the report submitted by KAP it can be concluded that obligations have only partly been realized, but not also to what extent. The enclosed table shows data from the response of the Ministry compared to the Ecology program which is an integral part of the contract of privatization of KAP¹, and copies of response from the Ministry are given in Annex 2.

¹ Contract on Privatization of the Aluminium Plant was published after pressure of MANS during the campaign "KAP spends, and who pays" http://www.vlada.cg.yu/biblioteka/1172848445.zip. More details on access to information on privatization available in MANS publication "Access to Information on Privatization: Behind the closed door – case study of KAP" at www.mans.cg.yu/FAI/dokumenta/publikacije/privatizacija_kap.htm